



From: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk **Subject:** IOPC Reference 2021/159274

Date: 29 September 2021 at 11:59 **To:** Adam@ab-fit.com

Dear Adam Bates,

Thank you for contacting the Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC). We acknowledge receipt of your email dated 16 September 2021 regarding your complaint against West Yorkshire Police. The case reference number is 2021/159274 which you should quote in all future correspondence.

We are completely independent of the police service and are responsible for making sure that the police complaints system in England and Wales works effectively and fairly. Our role is to forward your complaint to the relevant police force, which must decide whether to record the complaint. Recording means that a record is made of the complaint giving it formal status as a complaint under the Police Reform Act 2002

I have passed the matter to the Professional Standards Department (PSD) of West Yorkshire Police.

You should, usually, hear from the PSD within 15 working days. However, given the current Coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic it may take longer for the PSD to be in contact.

Please contact them directly using the details below if you do not receive a response from them.

West Yorkshire Police Discipline and Complaints PO Box 9

Wakefield

West Yorkshire

WF1 3QP

Tel: 101

Email: psd.genenq@westyorkshire.police.uk

If you have any further information you wish to pass on, please forward it directly to the PSD at the above address.

Kind regards,

Laura Turner

Customer Contact Advisor

Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC) PO Box 473

Sale

M33 0BW

Tel: 0300 020 0096

Email: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk **Twitter:** @iopc_help



From: Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com **Subject:** Re: IOPC Reference 2021/159274

Date: 29 September 2021 at 13:52

To: !enquiries enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Hi Laura

I'm sorry but I don't expect this will be looked into by the police force the complaint is launched about. This is not relevant to one particular officer but something much bigger involving a number of the force.

I hope I am wrong but, you have stated below you are an independent body who will be conducting the investigation, yet have then said the investigation is being conducted by the force to which the complaint is about? How is that independent?

Of course if I do hear from them and they request to speak and actually see the evidence etc this time great. But being as my complaint relates to this same force neglecting to even look at a case at all I do not see why or how this would be any different.

Please confirm my steps should I not receive a independent response from them and do receive either no response or one that is still covering up their previous negligence?

Which independent or government body monitors the police conduct of West Yorkshire police as a whole? It seems like this would be the same as a jury residing over a case where the jury is also the accused?

Adam



From: Stoppard, Daniel daniel.stoppard@westyorkshire.police.uk **Subject: 29-09-21 Bates**

Date: 5 October 2021 at 10:20 **To:** Adam@ab-fit.com

Dear Mr Bates,

I refer to the complaint that you made to West Yorkshire Police on 16th September 2021 regarding your dissatisfaction regarding the police handling of a domestic report of controlling and coercive behaviour. I am sorry that you have felt dissatisfied with the service offered by West Yorkshire Police on this occasion. Where we can, West Yorkshire Police seek to learn from feedback offered by members of the public.

As a result I will now complete the following actions, which appear reasonable and proportionate to the matter complained about, in order to resolve your complaint:

1.I reviewed the offence of controlling and coercive behaviour:

76(1) A person (A) commits an offence if -

- (a) A repeatedly or continuously engages in behaviour towards another person (B) that is controlling or coercive, (b) at the time of the behaviour, A and B are personally connected,
- (c) the behaviour has a serious effect on B, and
- (d) A knows or ought to know that the behaviour will have a serious effect on B.

Controlling or coercive behaviour does not relate to a single incident, it is a purposeful pattern of behaviour which takes place over time in order for one individual to exert power, control or coercion over another

Controlling behaviour is: a range of acts designed to make a person subordinate and/or dependent by isolating them from sources of support, exploiting their resources and capacities for personal gain, depriving them of the means needed for independence, resistance and escape and regulating their everyday behaviour.

Coercive behaviour is: a continuing act or a pattern of acts of assault, threats, humiliation and intimidation or other abuse that is used to harm, punish, or frighten their victim.

2.I reviewed West Yorkshire Police computer systems and have identified that you reported a domestic

incident to police on 21st June 2021, under reference number 13210309881. PC Richings and PC Sampson attended and prepared a report, which stated you alleged your ex-partner was having an affair, not washing your clothes, not doing house work, not wearing certain types of clothing and keeping her own house were having an affect on you. The officers stated that they explained these did not constitute being controlling or coercive. On 25th June 2021 information was received from HM Coroner officer which raised significant concern regarding your mental health after you made some comments during a conversation. You were described as making reference to female officers and members of staff in the help desk of police stations being involved in a conspiracy with a professor on how to manipulate males. It was described that you felt

there was a plot linked to 'generation x' before making comments that raised significant concern for your safety. The details of the crime you reported were reviewed by West Yorkshire Police Crime Registrars and it was considered that no criminal offence had occurred.

Section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 creates an offence in relation to a person who repeatedly or continuously engages in behaviour towards another (this person

being personally connected to them), that is controlling or coercive.

3.I reviewed police incident WYP-20210625-0571 which related to the significant

3.I reviewed police incident WYP-20210625-0571 which related to the significant concern for your safety, officers attended your home address and entry was forced in line with Section 17 Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, to ensure you did not suffer significant harm. Due to the police attendance a report was submitted to Adult Social Care who appear to have made an appointment to visit you, I have no further details as Social Care do not come under the control or direction of the Chief Constable.

On review of the information available, it appears that all police involved in the incidents have acted in line with policy and procedure, when ensuring your safety, I have been unable to locate any evidence to support your complaint which will be finalised and will be shown as resolved with an explanation. From the information available it appears that the actions you described regarding your ex-partner do not fit controlling or coercive behaviour.

However, please accept my assurance that your report has been recorded and documented and a complaint reference number can be provided to you on request.

Please accept my apology on behalf of West Yorkshire Police for any confusion, inconvenience or distress that this incident has caused and I thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

I hope that any future contact you may have with West Yorkshire Police will not be adversely affected by this experience.

Yours sincerely,

Daniel Stoppard

1975

Professional Standards Directorate

Email: daniel.stoppard@westyorkshire.police.uk

Internal: 22311 / Mobex 65509

Address: West Yorkshire Police, Professional Standards Directorate, Headquarters, WF1 3QP



From: Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com **Subject:** Re: 29-09-21 Bates

Date: 5 October 2021 at 19:35

To: Stoppard, Daniel daniel.stoppard@westyorkshire.police.uk

Dear Mr Stoppard,

I appreciate all the below and do completely agree that none of what has been mentioned would be relevant to a case of coercive control at all. My complaint relates to the fact that I was unable to even properly report the case or show a single piece of evidence. I was initially surprised that the complaint against a particular police force would be dealt with by that same force. I understand though from your point of view you are following procedures but if investigating whether a case has been dealt with correctly, should there not be a desire to speak with the victim of the case and to actually see what that evidence is?

I must mention firstly that I am not surprised at the ridiculous elements of the "case" you have mentioned below are given as the "evidence". I have never suggested that cheating is coercive control by itself and to think anyone would be in a relationship firstly and expect a partner to do things such as washing and housework is bad enough, let alone to then think it is a crime to not do so - that would be obscene!

I feel on reading the below I should quickly refer you to a little background as maybe a correct assessment of my character would make the investigator consider that person B may actually understand the area very well and certainly does not have issues with their own mental health; despite an attempt to deliberately cause emotional turmoil by person A (evidence of which the police have neglected to even look at or speak about). I spent last year helping clients on a daily basis manage their emotional states through lockdown. My work and my life is dedicated to improving the lives of others through both their body and mind, I am the creator of some successful podcasts of which, I receive many messages regarding the impact it has had on improving a persons life and their mental health. One of these is indeed called "Psychology, human behaviour and mental health". I am thanked daily by listeners or clients I work with in a one to one setting for changing their perspectives and overcoming their personal battles. It would take someone a few minutes of listening to these to see that the person who is giving this content should be spoken to directly if indeed the police have attempted to portray them as needing help with their personal mental health:

[Psychology, Human Behaviour and Mental Health with Adzfitness](#)

[Marcus Aurelius' Meditations for Modern Life](#)

The reason that this complaint has come 3 months later is due the fact I have had to gather myself conclusive evidence as to why my case was clearly being covered up and in doing so have uncovered far more than relevant to my case alone. This is evidence of something far greater than my own case as what has happened to myself is not just coercive control, but is a systematic process to do so from the onset of a relationship and is a practice that is being taught to certain groups of people by way of using Jungian Psychoanalysis to deconstruct an individuals personality and to create a new environment; one by which they question everything to themselves, create new behaviours that serve the purpotraitor and ultimately a year down the line a person is no longer able to question the actions of their partner as they are completely subordinated to them, a new normal reality is created whereby person A feels extreme power and control over person B. If, or when in my case, person B does indeed realise why they have seen their world deteriorate and that it was actually not them but the person they thought was closest to them deliberately creating that ongoing emotional deterioration, it hits them by way of what in psychology we simply call an emotional "fright" - that shock to person B

regarding their emotional state and stress response is similar to being asleep and suddenly waking up in a war zone. I know this, because I have had this done twice to me in two relationships in the same city which then led to 3 months of uncovering what was really going on. If of course you decline to speak or wish to see this evidence let alone the evidence of my personal case (again this was not even asked for by the officers in question), I have the complaint as well as this email to show that you have been made aware, of course hopefully you will wish to speak and see the evidence yourself before it is dismissed once more out of context.

Below you have correctly stated the constituents of coercive control and whilst the strange allegations I am alleged to have presented as a "case" to the officers certainly does not constitute a crime at all I will address whether the elements from section 76 were indeed part of what I had wished to report but was not allowed an opportunity to do so by the officers in questions or following requests to be seen by another officer as a result which were denied:

(a) A repeatedly or continuously engages in behaviour towards another person (B) that is controlling or coercive - *Yes and I have a great deal of proof of this*
(b) at the time of the behaviour, A and B are personally connected, - *Yes*
(c) the behaviour has a serious effect on B, and - *hugely! Would be clear to see from a discussion and speaking to those who I work with and have regular contact with, during the relationship but especially after whereby I know if the same had happened to someone without my background in psychology and mental health they would likely have take their life as a result, yes that serious but so far no evidence I wanted to show the officers has been looked at.*

(d) A knows or ought to know that the behaviour will have a serious effect on B.- *Not only this but as per above, this was the intent from the onset. Person A and B had been friends for two years and person A's behaviour changed as soon as they had got person B to fall for them and enter a relationship. Of course the psychoanalytic process mentioned relies on the concept of love as it is a state where someone is very easy to manipulate, hence why person B would only notice this intent a year later when all comes to a head as they would never suspect that person A would not have their best interests at heart. But then as soon as that is questioned (let alone by someone who has a psychology background) it is clear to see it was infact the opposite as person A was acting upon person B's emotional state to ensure that person B was progressing towards that position of complete dependance on person A for their happiness. A very skilful process as person B was one of the most confident and happy people you would meet and not looking for a relationship due to love of their work and being happy alone, before falling for person A. Whilst all the while in the relationship person B had been blaming themselves for their mental state and all issues in the relationship, because they, by this stage, worshipped person A and person A could do no wrong.*

None of the above though has even been shown to the police and therefore I would have to question how an investigation can conclude that a case was handled correctly if this is so? Let me refer to my original complaint:

Money 21st June:

1. Initially made a call to 101 - spoke for 30 minutes and the operator said this sounds very serious go to the police station.
2. At Elland Road station I told the lady on reception why I was there, she scribbled down a few details on a piece of paper went out the back and then came back a few minutes later and asked me to take a seated and said someone will be with me shortly, at which stage there were only two others in the waiting area, one was seen instantly and the other soon after. Other people came in and sat down too once they had given their details to reception, as I kept waiting many of these went in to be interviewed as did more who arrived after me and this continued for around an hour or so. I stepped outside and was only next to the large glass doors at the front, in view of the reception when the receptionist called of a withheld number and said that they were too busy to be able to see me today. This was the first moment I thought something is strange, why would she not just tell me when inside? Why would the police (or perhaps just the receptionist) ever say to anyone they are too busy to see someone when the case is yet to be reported, they let them wait for over an hour when it was quiet when they arrived, and many others who arrived since were seen? I was already wondering why others were being seen before me so confused, I asked what I should do? It was only then at my

perseverance and surprise saying that I am here to report a crime though and have been told to come here that she eventually confirmed that someone will come to my apartment to speak to me. So at this stage I correctly, thought something strange is going on.

3. An hour later the two officers in question arrived at my apartment and as stated they pushed for a name as soon as they were in the door - I still had not even given any details of the case at this stage even verbally yet they were desperate to get the name before I could do so. At this stage I could well have been about to give a case that would not constitute control and you would think they would want at least an outline of what happened before requiring a name. Of course the name is important but the desperation to get it as a far more important matter than even needing to know what the details of the crime were was extremely strange. As this seemed very odd I was initially reluctant to give a name as now felt under attack rather than the police wanting to assist me, but of course I also knew that often it is a male who is the perpetrator of such domestic crimes but it was extremely horrible to experience when in the state of shock I already was following the realisation of what had been occurring in my life over the past year. I went to give the name following their continued persistence and at that moment as mentioned the officer pulled a torn off page from a magazine out of her pocket and when I said "that is not a note book" the officers looked at each other and then abruptly asked what she had done then. I could not then finish a sentence, I had only the day before stumbled across all the evidence of cheating so was in shock and when I began to say how I had found out what was going on; ie it only all clicked and made sense once I realised that had been going on. On being interrupted constantly whilst giving context I had to explain to them that I am trying to give context as coercive control is not a single event but a series of ongoing behaviour over a period of time. To which they said we know, what has she done and at this stage I said I am trying to tell you but I can not explain a case of coercive control if I can not finish a sentence. Ie I tried to show my phone as it would only take a scan over the year of messages alone to see how obvious the manipulation was, but on showing the start, the first message they jumped up and said that is not coercive control etc and I said of course it isn't but I need to show you the pattern and changes. This was when I was made out to be a crazy ex and left in shock as they left. They were not prepared to listen to more than a sentence, let alone for 30 minutes as the initial operator on the phone had done. So of course then I knew something much bigger was going on as it was extremely obvious that they intended not to analyse a case but to ensure it gets dismissed. This explains the details you therefore have on the records. I will state again this is a case of coercive control that is not something that can be proved in a sentence or one message! I then spent a few days trying to get to speak to a police officer to actually report the case the same was as I had done twice by now on the phone to the general operators, the second instance was after the officers left and again was told this is very serious I will try to get a male officer out to you. This did not happen so I called the station and was told they would not waste time sending someone else as the girls have said you need help. By this stage I had of course been doing more research myself to find out what I could on why this would be happening - note I have had two relationships with the same, clearly taught, techniques used particularly at the end and incidentally, the first time was in 2017 and I did have two officer come to my flat look at my phone and after 45 minutes told me I have to report her as she will go to jail and it is the worst coercive control case they had seen. I wrongly declined as at that stage did not know it was done through deliberate intent and thought she may have psychological issues and instead wished I could have helped; they said that she could do it to someone else though and I said I will use it for good as have ways to use this experience in my work to help others who experience similar. This second case is far far worse even than that was, more obvious too, but I have not had a single officer look at my phone which alone would show this to be extremely obvious and even intentional from the outset. So, what should have been a quick report to the police, then they look at the messages on my phone to see all they need to report the crime (again this fact of coercive control even in the phone messages alone, would be obvious to anyone wanting to address the report!) and I could then focus on repairing myself and my own life. Instead I have had to leave the personal matter and do the police work myself in getting to the bottom of why there would be a cover up and why the exact same techniques would have been used but two different partners of mine in the same city.

I hope therefore, that following this you would wish to speak to myself as well as the officers I have made the complaint against and not just understand the neglect of allowing me to give details of the crime Person A has committed to myself.

For that reason I have copied in Amanda Milling and Ben Elliot as I am a member of the conservative party myself although inactive and whilst they are unaware of this at this stage (sorry both!), following a conversation with another party member I have been asked to produce a report of the larger

findings if indeed the above is also simply dismissed as it would mean that a few members of the force at least, are acting to cover up a case that has led to a wider issue and therefore are deliberately declining to uphold the law.

Incidentally, my reason for contacting Chris Clarkson at the coroners office was the result of being shocked and unable to speak to a police officer as I had been in contact with Chris previously when he informed me that I was nominated for a police commissioners award for risking my own life in an attempt to save someone by pulling them out of the river Aire in September 2020, another fact that I hope is considered rather than dismissing this as a report by a crazy ex once more.

Regards,
Adam Bates www.AB-fit.com



From: Subject: Date: To: Cc:

Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com

Re: 29-09-21 Bates

12 October 2021 at 16:12

Stoppard, Daniel daniel.stoppard@westyorkshire.police.uk

Dear Mr Stoppard,

Following my below response and waiting a week for a reply I would like to check that I am correct to presume that the below is to be ignored and that your investigation is complete?

If so, I will presume the following:

All I have stated regarding the actions of the police including those of the officers in question, the receptionist and other members of the force is normal procedure?

That the word of the officers in question is not to be investigated despite me stating that I have not even been given a chance to be interviewed or show any evidence at all of what I had intended to report as the crime? If so, this means that I now have a record stating I think how a person choses to dress is coercive control and that I have serious mental health issues which is not acceptable in the circumstances outlined below at all. This leaves me with a perception created to anyone who may need to look in the future that I would not only have mental health issues myself but that I expect a partner to dress and act a certain way.

So the investigation is complete without an officer still ever looking at a single message on my phone and despite me stating so and that the recorded details are not what I reported to the officers at all that there is no need to interview the victim of a crime and reporter of police neglect as part of the investigation?

Like all the treatment to this date it again seems very strange to learn that is the case and not only do I not receive a reply of shock and intrigue to my last email but I receive no reply at all.

So, I would like confirmation before I do take next steps please, as those steps can be related to the case alone or also involve the neglect of the police in the case an investigation too. On which, I do not want to speculate but I did find it very strange that the force I was reporting would do the investigation themselves but of course gave the process the benefit of the doubt, even when there is a history with Yorkshire police and some people involved right now in this case with strange reports and lies according to some previous court cases.

I understand you are following procedure and of course I will now do the same but before I do I will hope you consider the following before confirming that your investigation is complete:

I have eluded to a bigger picture so leaving for now my own personal case which can as mentioned easily be proven by anyone who cares to look at my phone with the patterns being so obvious. But due to the neglect as mentioned I have had to conduct 3 months worth of research myself of which I would have shared details if asked for following the expected chance to finally show and report in my own words my personal case. I will not share all here but in the hope that you would value your position enough to prioritise upholding the law even if it meant a detailed and timely investigation to do

so, rather than putting protection of officers who have shown neglect (and it appears from my records also constructed lies) above doing what is right by the law?

I understand that there are conflicts and many very understandable between religious and ideological practices and the UK law however, if someone has beliefs that are not inline with the law they are supposed to uphold then they should not be in the police force at all and of course proof to which would be an extremely serious matter.

I have a book on a group called the IOT and chaos magick which outlines the exact steps of mind control that were implemented on myself in my previous two relationships whilst in Leeds, it also talks of the importance of creating a second personality and the practice of doing so in order to engage with the chosen person such as myself so there are no suspicions of the real objective. The book was not only purchased in Leeds, not only does it correlate extremely strangely with my ex partners clothing business as you can see from the attached screen shots (although I can give far far more to those who may be concerned with preventing criminal acts), but the writer of the book lived in Yorkshire. Not only that, he and some others from this area created groups (also known as occults) through the 1980's and 90's that practiced these arts. Whilst the author, Peter Carroll is no longer active in groups himself, he is still in contact with former members who do still practice these arts. Other leaders from that time though are absolutely still active. A few more images are attached just to give an insight into how prevalent this is in this area and is still current today and even run training events on these techniques in the area and over zoom..

The link below shows a report from the Sun of an event that may or may not have involved my ex-partner, but note that it took place in the same village where she and other associates live, no doubt the area was chosen due to certain religious landmarks around the area. The Sun for one I am sure will be interested to learn exactly what this ritual is and then of course the far greater story of how this would tie in to members using mind control to attempt to destroy the lives of another person such as myself as part of their own rite of passage:

<https://www.google.co.uk/amp/s/www.thesun.co.uk/news/8184587/andy-couple-bird-blood-sex-leeds-woods-chanting-women/amp/>

Of course there are more stories regarding Pagan rituals in this area - FYI I have so much admiration for many of their beliefs and practices and can completely empathise with their challenges in society however, instigating a friendship with someone for two years with the sole purpose of then being able to enter into what I believed was a relationship with them to use them as a human sacrifice as part of a rite is not ok and is against the law!

What I have attached is barely the tip of the iceberg but I feel it is important to be considered at a later date that these were shown along with the other correspondence as to whether journalists are solely interested in the criminal acts that the police have acted on, or another story as to why the police ignored it. I will of course be taking the next step for my personal case too in contacting the courts, which of course will then lead to my phone being looked at and the questions "why on Earth would the police neglect this."

And lastly, it was interesting to note that someone from the same village as my ex partner, who is known for their teaching of Wiccan practices (it is their business) made a post to a Pagan group asking if anyone knows of a Pagan lawyer. Interestingly this post was made the day after my police complaint and may too be a coincidence but I also feel it is relevant.

Ok that certainly is enough for now, I have great sympathy for many people and groups who have been oppressed and there are still huge areas where modern society can and will continue to improve. I moved to Leeds with a love of the culture and diversity being part of it, but I live my life to help others and whilst I have been here I have been used in this process twice. My life is dedicated to helping others reach happiness and fulfilment as well as doing my bit to help humanity even if risking my own life as I have before.

Thank you for taking the time to complete your investigation but in answer to the final paragraph of your email - of course I will not be contacting the force should I need assistance in the future when I have reported a crime against myself and ended up with 3 months of my time take, police neglect, a heart attack and the slanderous content that is now on my own police records. Of course I will contact should I need to once the force does begin to act in accordance with the law they are employed to uphold.

Regards, Adam Bates



From: Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com **Subject:** Re: IOPC Reference 2021/159274

Date: 15 October 2021 at 20:07

To: lenquiries enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Hi Laura

I am initiating further action and have a number of reporters with whom I am speaking with next week regarding this as it has, as I suspected, become much more than a personal case and involves corruption from the top of Yorkshire police. Daniel Stoppard who was assigned to my investigation has not even spoken with me, or even responded to two emails I have sent since his repose. This is what I was expecting following my own investigations before making the complaint and why I found it odd that a member from the force I have complained about was given the task of the investigation - it is not one member of the force but something much greater that has gone on for years.

It only takes a few minutes online to find many reports of strange occurrences with Yorkshire police, corruption, police on trial etc and one in particular mentioned a very strange court case where an out of court settlement was paid to the person who was victim of police neglect after it went to court initially and stories from officers (including Daniel Stoppard who is doing this investigation!) even receptionists (akin to my case) did not add up and due to the settlement they never got to the bottom of what was going on.

I have. Yet, it seems police or the IOPC at this stage have not even spoken to me. Mr Stoppard simply told me what was on my records which is so far from anything I tried (I say tried as I could not speak or even show a single message let alone report coercive control!) to report - it makes me out to be a sort of person I myself would detest!

What is on my report as well as Mr Stoppards "investigation" are going to make things extremely serious for WYP and hopefully this can be mitigated somewhat by yourselves. It would be much better and easier for me too, if the IOPC would allow me to speak to one officer who is not involved than to have to out the police involvement through a front page national newspaper story before anything even goes to court. I state again, I have not been allowed to even make a proper report of what even happened personally, the treatment was so obviously negligent that it was clear it was intentional and whilst I did not want to mention too much before now I have gone through this process all is coming out.

I hope that you guys are independent and therefore will look at my complaint, Daniels response which I am about to send as well as my TWO followups due to no reply and realise there is something serious going on here. I would much rather speak to the reporters regarding the underground practice of this form of mind control as they call it and its existence being rife in a major city, than to be breaking the police involvement and corruption.

I will forward the email thread with Mr Stoppard separately now, I know you have a procedure so do expect a response may simply be the same as the below, but knowing how much more I have than presented as a hint to Mr Stoppard, I would highly advise seeking advice from a person in authority at the IOPC before dismissing or taking standard procedure.

Thank you Adam Bates



From: Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com **Subject:** Re: IOPC Reference 2021/159274

Date: 19 October 2021 at 11:20

To: lenquiries enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Hi

I have referred to your documentation on the complaints process and would like to state the following and hope that I can be given the right assistance. It's extremely clear from this but also doing a quick review of recent social media posts involving West Yorkshire police that they are rife with corruption, most don't know why and that they are following regulations from outside of the UK law.

This week I am speaking with a documentary producer as well as a reporter from the daily mirror as well as proceeding with raising a court case etc. I'd like to think that the IPOC can reply and therefore not be insinuating that they are not also supporting the local police forces corruption.

Over the past few years not only have suicide cases rose in Yorkshire but those cases are in a ratio of 4 male deaths to every female death. This is due to cases such as this where a male victim of crime is treated as if they are the perpetrator and not a victim and, it appears there are complaints of cases against males being prosecuted without any evidence of wrong doing other than the word of a former partner and the police acting or creating false evidence. Of course following this case blowing up and becoming a national issue, I am sure those others will be investigated.

However, from your procedures: has this case been investigated and the victim heard? No.

Was there an interview process where the victim has given a recorded interview to report the case? No, officers were here for ten minutes, turned radios off, I was not allowed to report at the station, no notes were taken either so their is no recording or documentation of an interview just the fabricated story created by the officers at the station.

I will also require the identification numbers for officers Richings and Sampson please? Has the officer investigating given next steps? No.

I hope that the IPOC can review this and respond as by not even replying let alone reviewing Mr Stoppard "investigation" then a court (as well as a certain government organisation who this is being forwarded to) of course would question the actions of the IPOC as well as the West Yorkshire police force.

Of course it would be nice to see action rather than actions like those of Mr Stoppard in failing to respond but instead initiating steps to continue to cover up which I have been trailing following each email.

This time, there will not be an out of court settlement either as I will do what is right based on the laws we are obliged to live by whether we agree with them or not.

Thank you Adam

From: Adam Bates <Adam@ab-fit.com>

Subject: Re: IOPC Reference 2021/159274 Date: 20 October 2021 at 11905915 BST

To: !enquiries <enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk>

Hi Laura

Thank you, but the IOPC has not met the procedures stated below or in the documentation on the website.

But it's ok, I will proceed as I need to; the responses and lack of, as well as lack of any investigation has given us more than enough to do so.

It's a shame when there are so many good and wonderful changes made in this area that it coincides with an abuse of power at many levels since the transfer of the IOPC to local control in May such as this. Obviously that will not be the case for long when double the amount of male suicides in comparison to London and abuse of police powers being a large cause is not a serious issue.

Thank you for all your assistance and it's been passed on to proceed with next steps. Alison is more than welcome to contact me directly should she wish.

Regards Adam



From: **enquiries**@policeconduct.gov.uk **Subject:** IOPC reference: 2021/159274

Date: 22 October 2021 at 15:05 **To:** Adam@ab-fit.com

Dear Adam Bates,

Thank you for contacting the Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC). We acknowledge receipt of your 2 emails dated 19 and 20 October 2021, the content of which has been noted.

Further to your emails, please note that as we are independent from the police force, we do not hold any police identification or collar numbers for police officers. I advise you speak directly to the force regarding this by calling 101, or raising your query with the Investigating Officer (IO) for your complaint.

As such, please continue to be in contact with the Professional Standards Department (PSD) of West Yorkshire in regards to your ongoing complaint. I have added their contact details for convenience:

West Yorkshire Police

Discipline and Complaints

PO Box 9

Wakefield

West Yorkshire

WF1 3QP

Tel: 101

Email: psd.genenq@westyorkshire.police.uk

Kind regards,

Savanna Bowman

Customer Contact Advisor

Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC) PO Box 473

Salisbury

Wiltshire

Email: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk **Website:** www.policeconduct.gov.uk

Twitter: @iopc_help



From: Adam Bates Adam@ab-fit.com **Subject:** Re: IOPC reference: 2021/159274

Date: 24 October 2021 at 17:26

To: lenquiries enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Hi Savanna

I appreciate the reply and for noting the content.

Of course the below is great too especially with twice mentioning being independent from the police force psychologically to many that may reassure them subconsciously. Content noted too.

Independent yes, but controlled by the same source that controls the police force etc etc. Obviously I don't need another method to contact the same people but appreciate you are responding as directed to do so.

This is such a shame when so much great work has been done to get to this stage in society up here but I also understand why there is a view of things won't change unless the foundations of society do.

This is no longer about myself as you know, but it then puts me in a position where I also do not want to act in a way that sends things backwards by simply passing all the info in one go to those who can and will act but will in doing so make matters worse for genuine equalities cause. I previously sent links to some of my normal work in the hope that it would lead to myself being viewed as someone who may actually see completely objectively whilst also being able to influence those who will "never understand" by the nature of how they can impact a psychological evolution, aiding in things being done the right way for future generations without consideration for "self".

If there were a chance for that change to happen by a collective change in consciousness rather than through encouraging an even greater separation (which will always fail in the long run much like socialism becoming communist dictatorships) then just maybe that would be right now. Leeds and Yorkshire could actually be a genuine example for the nation to follow (not just for minorities but for crime reduction etc in all areas through changing consciousness rather than corruption and increasing hatred between groups). Imagine that - not only have we protected the streets for women, reduced hate crimes etc but ALSO see all the stories of corruption within WYP disappear too and then Leeds has become an example of a modern Plato's republic that with the right approach and people who can produce the right books and content will lead the way for national progress.

To me, it is easy to see how we could work towards that and hopefully, what I have said does lead to a conversation with the right person as per my last email, to see if things could proceed the right way. My life is and has for a while been dedicated to what is best for people as a whole, that means there are far more common ground areas than you may think and I hope what have said comes from that standpoint; I have no need to say any of the above if I did not genuinely feel so much merit to all the wonderful things that are happening in this city.

There is no need for yourself or Laura to respond unless you wish to of course give me more, but I do hope for a certain chat to happen in order to make the next steps ones that are better for all.

Regards Adam

This is where the contact finished, aside from Alison Lowe following me 20 minutes later on twitter (see screen shots in the Republic for Modern Life), but she declined my offer to speak.